


# ERASMUS+



## 2021 Grant Holders Meeting





# WELCOME to ...



## Near at HAND



“If you want to go fast, go alone.  
If you want to go far, **GO TOGETHER.**”

~ African Proverb



[WWW.TRUEWORDINGS.COM](http://WWW.TRUEWORDINGS.COM)



A4 YOU

## Our Selection Process



4830 project proposals received

>500 Experts contracted

180 eligible countries (34 Programme Countries and 146 Partner Countries)

11 Geographical Regions in Partner Countries

908 Grant Agreements signed

>800 mln Euro grant awarded

7327 Institutions supported

4901 Partner country institutions supported





# Monitoring & Control

## Project monitoring

Monitoring of one single project at one of the partner institutions

Objective: to evaluate the project progress and achievements

Participants: EACEA staff, Project partners, NEO staff (optional)

## Institutional monitoring

Monitoring of a higher education institution

Objective: to analyse the projects' achievements and sustainable impact on the institution and its stakeholders.

Participants: EACEA staff, staff members of the visited institution, NEO staff (optional)

## Programme monitoring

Joint meetings of projects take the form of cluster meetings (thematic, geographic, per project generation)

Objective: to assess the impact of the Action/Programme in a specific country, region and/or field of activity, to create synergies among the projects.

Participants: EACEA staff, Ministries of Education, EU Delegations representatives, project representatives

# Appointments...

- partnership kick off meeting
- national management cluster
- questionnaire after 6-8 months project start
- meeting for the preparation of progress report
- thematic cluster meetings
- meeting for the preparation of final report





# Reporting

- Cover both the activities implemented and the grant used
- **Joint exercise** : to be completed by and shared with all partners
- Do not underestimate the **amount of time** necessary to write a report
- Do **not copy and paste** information from other reports (/the application/other projects)
- **Answer the questions** asked on the reporting template – no more no less
- **Be honest** : present problems (and the remedial actions launched) as well as achievements

# Assessing performance

- Relevance (max. 30 pts)
- Quality of the project (design and) implementation (max. 30 pts)
- Quality of the project team and cooperation arrangements (max. 20 pts)
  - Impact and sustainability (max. 20 pts)



Needs analyses not taken into account; changes not communicated within reports; unexplained delays and no countermeasures proposed; no relation between activities and aims; no reference to continuous relevance of expected results and objectives; repeating already funded activities; national legislation ignored



Recommendations not addressed; QA not developed "wikipedia" style; no access to documentation and products developed; insufficient information and analyses on design, development, accreditation/recognition and/or implementation; Underdeveloped webpage; Focus not on PC; Costs do not correspond to outputs



No conflict resolution mechanisms agreed; no efficient transfer of funds; meetings not minuted; cooperation not detailed in report; lack of involvement partners (also EU); university management not included



Focus on individual level only; no financial planning beyond contract period; accreditation not obtain; weak ownership teams; technological or legal changes not addressed; no clarity how to measure impact (short and long term)

# Excellence of services

- provide high quality support
- guarantee that our message is well understood,
- adapt to the current circumstances
- help the beneficiaries
- achieve E+ CBHE visibility and dissemination

CBHE project fairs: May



Asia cluster meeting: September



Eastern Partnership cluster meeting: September



ACP+ South Africa cluster meeting: October



South Mediterranean cluster meeting: October



Russia cluster meeting: October



NEO/ICP meeting: October

